

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/596,543 | HEKSTRA ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| M. MUJTABA K. CHAUDRY | 2112 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) M. MUJTABA K. CHAUDRY.

(3) ____.

(2) Larry Liberchuck.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2010

Time: 11am esxt

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached to amend claim 11 to overcome 101 rejections.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MUJTABA K CHAUDRY/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)